Local Search & Games

CSCI 4511/6511

Joe Goldfrank

Announcements

- Homework 1 is due on 7 February at 11:55 PM
 - Late submission policy
- Homework 2 is due on 21 February at 11:55 PM

Why Are We Here?

Why Are We Here?

	•	• •
\circ $\circ \circ$	** ** *	
	• • • • • • •	
\circ $\circ \circ$ \circ	• • • • • • •	
$\bullet \bullet $		• • • • • • •
\circ $\circ \circ$	•• •• •	•• •• •
\cdot	•	• •
••••••		

Search: Why?

- Fully-observed problem
- Deterministic actions and state
- Well-defined *start* and *goal*
 - "Well-defined"



Goal Tests



Goal Tests

Best-First Search

Algorithm Best-First Search
1: function Best-First-Search($problem, f$)
2: $node \leftarrow Node(State=problem.Initial)$
3: $frontier \leftarrow priority queue ordered by f$
4: $frontier.Add(node)$
5: $reached \leftarrow lookup table$
6: $reached[node] \leftarrow problem.$ Initial
7: while not Is-EMPTY $(frontier)$ do
8: $node \leftarrow \operatorname{Pop}(frontier)$
9: if <i>problem</i> .Is-GOAL(<i>node</i> .STATE) then
10: return node
11: for each $child$ in Expand $(problem, node)$ do
12: $s \leftarrow child.$ State
if not $s \in reached$ or $child$. PATH-COST $< reached[s]$. PATH-COST then
$14: reached[s] \leftarrow child$
15: $frontier.Add(child)$
16: return failure
17:
18: function Expand(problem, node)
19: $s \leftarrow node.$ State
20: for each $action$ in $problem$. ACTIONS (s) do
21: $s' \leftarrow problem.Result(s, action)$
22: $cost \leftarrow node.$ Path-Cost $+ problem.$ Action-Cost $(s, action, s')$
yield Node(State s' , Parent $node$, Action $action$, Path-Cost $cost$)

A* Search

• Include path-cost g(n)

•
$$f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$$

Algorithm A* Search

- 1: function A*-Search(problem)
- 2: return Best-First-Search(problem, g(n) + h(n))
- Complete (always)
- Optimal (sometimes)
 - Painful $O(b^m)$ time and space complexity

A* vs. Dijkstra

(example)

- Advantages?
- Disadvantages?

Choosing Heuristics

• Recall: h(n) estimates cost from n to goal



- Admissibility
- Consistency

Choosing Heuristics

- Admissibility
 - Never overestimates cost from n to goal
 - Cost-optimal!
- Consistency
 - $h(n) \leq c(n,a,n') + h(n')$
 - n' successors of n
 - c(n, a, n') cost from n to n' given action a

Consistency

- Consistent heuristics are admissible
 - Inverse not necessarily true
- Always reach each state on optimal path
- Implications for inconsistent heuristic?

Is Optimality Desirable?

Is Optimality Desirable?

• Yes

Is Optimality Desirable?

- Yes, but it isn't always *feasible*
 - A* search still exponentially complex in solution length
 - Optimality is never guaranteed "inexpensively"
- We need strategies for "good enough" solutions

Satisficing

satisfy - *verb* - To give satisfaction; to afford gratification; to leave nothing to be desired.¹

suffice - *verb* - To be enough, or sufficient; to meet the need (of anything)²

1. Webster's, 1913

2. Webster's. 1913

Weighted A* Search

- Greedy: f(n) = h(n)
- $A^*: f(n) = h(n) + g(n)$
- Uniform-Cost Search: f(n) = g(n)

- Weighted A* Search: $f(n) = W \cdot h(n) + g(n)$
 - Weight W > 1

. . .

Reducing Complexity

- Frontier Management
- Elimination of *reached* collection
 - Reference counts
 - How else?

• Other searches

Iterative-Deepening A* Search

"IDA*" Search

- Similar to Iterative Deepening with Depth-First Search
 - DFS uses depth cutoff
 - IDA* uses h(n) + g(n) cutoff with DFS
 - Once cutoff breached, new cutoff:
 - $\circ\;$ Typically next-largest h(n) + g(n)
 - $O(b^m)$ time complexity \cong
 - O(d) space complexity¹ \mathfrak{S}

1. This is slightly complicated based on heuristic branching factor b_h .

Beam Search

Best-First Search:

• Frontier is all expanded nodes

Beam Search:

- k "best" nodes are kept on frontier
 - Others discarded
- Alt: all nodes within δ of best node
- Not Optimal
- Not Complete

Recursive Best-First Search (RBFS)

- No reached table is kept
- Second-best node f(n) retained
 - Search from each node cannot exceed this limit
 - If exceeded, recursion "backs up" to previous node
- Memory-efficient
 - Can "cycle" between branches

Recursive Best-First Search (RBFS)

Algorithm Recursive Best-First Search

```
1: function Recursive-Best-First-Search(problem)
       solution, f_value \leftarrow \text{RFBS}(problem, \text{Node}(problem.Initial), \infty)
 2:
       return solution
 3:
 4:
   function RBFS(problem, node, f_limit)
 5:
       if problem.Is-GOAL(node.STATE) then
 6:
           return node
 7:
       successors \leftarrow \text{List}(\text{Expand}(node))
 8:
       if Is-Empty(successors) then
 9:
           return failure, \infty
10:
       for each s in successors do
11:
           s.f \leftarrow Max(s.Path-Cost + h(s), node.f)
12:
       while True do
13:
           best \leftarrow node in successors with lowest f
14:
           if best. f > f limit then
15:
               return failure, best. f
16:
           alternative \leftarrow node in successors with second-lowest f
17:
           result, best.f \leftarrow \text{RBFS}(problem, best, \text{MIN}(f\_limit, alterative))
18:
           if result \neq failure then
19:
               return result, best. f
20:
```

Heuristic Characteristics

- What makes a "good" heuristic?
 - We know about admissability and consistency
 - What about performance?
- Effective branching factor
- Effective depth
- # of nodes expanded

Where Do Heuristics Come From?

- Intuition
 - "Just Be Really Smart"
- Relaxation
 - The problem is constrained
 - Remove the constraint
- Pre-computation
 - Sub problems
- Learning

Local Search

What Even Is The Goal?

Uninformed/Informed Search:

- Known start, known goal
- Search for optimal path

Local Search:

- "Start" is irrelevant
- Goal is not known
 - But we know it when we see it
- Search for goal

Brutal Example

POLYMATH 1,296 by SLEUTH

DOWN

ACROSS

1 Bushy male sideburns popular during the Victorian period (10.7) 10 Indian city in which snooker is thought to have originated (8) 11 Nickname of King John of England due to his poor inheritance (8) 12 A bishop's move in chess to control the board's long diagonal (10) 13 1986 horror film starring Jeff Goldblum as scientist Seth Brundle (3.3) 14 Port city in western Saudi 7 Theme park near Arabia where pilgrims land for the hai (6) 16 A set of principles to do with the nature and appreciation of beauty (10) 18 ____ Knievel, US daredevil showman and stunt rider (4) 20 Historic part of North Yorkshire that contains the market town of Malton (7) 22 Dannie ___. Welsh poet and physician born in 1923 (4) 23 Athletics event for which

Jonathan Edwards holds the world record (6.4) 25 Large, fish-eating raptor that is brown on its upper parts (6) 27 Altered ____, new wave band whose lead vocalist is Clare Grogan (6) 29 Town in north Hertfordshire that was 31 Tending to intrude on a person's thoughts or privacy (8) 32 One who improvises lines objects, especially antiques or a speech (2-6) 33 Fourth studio album by The Police released in 1981 (5.2.3.7)

2 Ronnie ___, English cricket all-rounder who played in 31 ODI matches 3 Irish band formed in 19 who fused folk, rock and n age (7) 4 Explosive dropped from a ship or aircraft to attack submarine (5,6) 5 ____Lynn, singer who was subject of the film Co. Miner's Daughter (7) 6 Body of water between mainland China and the Korean peninsula (6.3) Orlando in Florida that opened in 1982 (5) 8 Gymnasium or wrestlin school in ancient Greece a Rome (9) 9 French tennis player born in 1904 nicknamed T Crocodile (4.7) 15 Norwegian artist noted for his Frieze of Life series (6.5) 17 Hereditary disorder that affected the Romanov dynasty in Russia (11) 19 Compositions in which a writer omits a certain letter of the alphabet (9) 21 Cheerful and highly energetic (9) 24 A thick meat or vegetable soup (7) 26 The ultimate ruler in Gilbert & Sullivan's operetta The Mikado (4-3) Britain's first garden city (10) 28 Genre of literature for which the annual Hugo Awards are given (3-2)

30 Small domestic wooden

(5)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
10					11				
12						13			
14	15			16		17			
18		19	20	21			22		
23					24	25	26		
27			28	29				30	
31					32				
33									

Solution 1.295

	А	L	L	Т	Н	Е	Υ	Е	А	R	R	0	U	Ν	D	
	D		Τ		1		А		L		0		Ρ		R	
В	R	U	Ν	Е	L		Ρ	Е	Е	R	Т	0	Ρ	Е	Е	R
	Ι		D		А				С		0		S		С	
В	А	R	В	Τ	R	0	L	L	Τ		S	W	А	Ν	к	Y
	Ν		Е		Υ		Е		S		С		L			
С	Н	Е	R			М	А	Т	S	U	0	В	А	S	Н	0
	Е		G		А		R		Т		Ρ				Е	
Т	Ν	Т	н	Е	С	А	Ν		G	R	Е	Е	Т	Е	R	S
	R				0		Τ		0		S		Е		Е	
М	Т	Ν	0	R	С	А	Ν	0	Ν	S			R	Τ	D	E
			Ρ		К		G		I.		R		Ζ		Τ	
Ρ	А	L	Е	Κ	Н		С	0	S	М	0	Ν	А	U	Т	S
	М		Ν		0		U				Ν		R		А	
R	0	В	В	Е	R	С	R	А	В		А	Κ	Т	М	В	С
	R		А		S		V		F		L		М		L	
	Т	Н	R	Е	Е	L	Е	G	G	E	D	R	Α	С	Е	

Less-Brutal Example



"Real-World" Examples

- Scheduling
- Layout optimization
 - Factories
 - Circuits
- Portfolio management
- Others?

Objective Function

- Do you know what you want?¹
- Can you express it mathematically?²
 - A single value
 - More is better
- Objective function: a function of *state*

1. If not, you might be human

2. If not. vou might be human

Hill-Climbing

- Objective function
- State space mapping
 - Neighbors

Algorithm Hill-Climbing

1:	function Hill-Climbing(problem)
2:	$current \leftarrow problem.$ Initial
3:	while True do
4:	$neighbor \leftarrow$ successor of $current$ with greatest objective function value
5:	if $Value(neighbor) \leq Value(current)$ then
6:	return <i>current</i>
7:	$current \leftarrow neighbor$

Hill-Climbing

The Hazards of Climbing Hills

- Local maxima
- Plateaus
- Ridges

Five Queens


Five Queens



Five Queens



Variations

- Sideways moves
 - Not free
- Stochastic moves
 - Full set
 - First choice
- Random restarts
 - If at first you don't succeed, you fail try again!
 - Complete 😌

The Trouble with Local Maxima

- We don't know that they're local maxima
 - Unless we do?
- Hill climbing is efficient
 - But gets trapped
- Exhaustive search is complete
 - But it's exhaustive!
 - Stochastic methods are 'exhaustive'

Simulated Annealing



Simulated Annealing

- Doesn't actually have anything to do with metallurgy
- Search begins with high "temperature"
 - Temperature decreases during search
- Next state selected randomly
 - Improvements always accepted
 - Non-improvements rejected stochastically
 - Higher temperature, less rejection
 - "Worse" result, more rejection

Simulated Annealing

Algorithm Simulated Annealing

1:	function Simulated-Annealing $(problem, current)$
2:	$current \leftarrow problem.$ Initial
3:	$t \leftarrow 1$
4:	while True do
5:	$T \leftarrow schedule(t)$
6:	if $T = MIN(schedule)$ then
7:	return $current$
8:	$next \leftarrow$ random successor of $current$
9:	$\Delta E \leftarrow Value(current)$ - $Value(next)$
10:	if $\Delta E > 0$ then
11:	$current \leftarrow next$
12:	else
13:	$p \leftarrow \text{ sample from } U(0,1)$
14:	if $p < e^{-\Delta E/T}$ then
15:	$current \leftarrow next$

Local Beam Search

Recall:

• Beam search keeps track of k "best" branches

Local Beam Search:

- Hill climbing search, keeping track of k successors
 - Deterministic
 - Stochastic

Local Beam Search

The Real World Is Discrete



The Real World Is Not Discrete

- Discretize continuous space
 - Works iff no objective function discontinuities
 - What happens if there are discontinuities?
 - How do we know that there are discontinuities?

Gradient Descent

- Minimize loss instead of climb hill
 - Still the same idea

Consider:

- One state variable, x
- Objective function f(x)
 - How do we minimize f(x) ?
 - Is there a closed form $\frac{d}{dx}$?

Gradient Descent

Multivariate $\vec{x} = x_0, x_1, \ldots$

Instead of derivative, gradient: $abla f(ec{x}) = \left[rac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}, rac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \ldots
ight]$

"Locally" descend gradient: $ec{x} \leftarrow ec{x} + lpha
abla f(ec{x})$

Probability

Probability

Random Events

- Always in the future
- We know something about them
 - We don't know the outcome *with certainty*
- Distinctions
- Probabilities



First, We Will Play A Game

- Pick a partner
- Place 11 pieces of candy between you
- Alternating turns, either:
 - Take one piece
 - Take two pieces
- Last person to take a piece wins all of the candy

Algorithms for Games

Adversity

So far:

- The world does not care about us
- This is a simplifying assumption!

Reality:

- The world does not care us
- ...but it wants things for "itself"
- ...and we don't want the same things

The Adversary

One extreme:

- Single adversary
 - Adversary wants the *exact opposite* from us
 - If adversary "wins," we lose

•••

Other extreme:

- An entire world of agents with different values
 - They might want some things similar to us
- "Economics"
- ••

Simple Games

- Two-player
- Turn-taking
- Discrete-state
- Fully-observable
- Zero-sum
 - This does some work for us!

Max and Min

- Two players want the opposite of each other
- State takes into account both agents
 - Actions depend on whose turn it is

Minimax

- Initial state s_0
- ACTIONS(s) and TO-MOVE(s)
- RESULT(s, a)
- IS-TERMINAL(s)
- UTILITY(s, p)

Minimax

Minimax

Algorithm Minimax Search

```
1: function MINIMAX-SEARCH(game, state)
       player \leftarrow game.To-Move(state)
 2:
       value, move \leftarrow Max-Value(game, state)
 3:
      return move
 4:
 5:
6: function MAX-VALUE(game, state)
       if game.Is-Terminal(state) then
7:
          return game.UTILITY(state, player),null
8:
      v \leftarrow -\infty
 9:
       for each a in game.Actions(state) do
10:
          v2, a2 \leftarrow Min-Value(game, game.Result(state, a))
11:
          if v2 > v then
12:
              v, move \leftarrow v2, a
13:
      return v, move
14:
15:
16: function MIN-VALUE(game, state)
       if game.Is-Terminal(state) then
17:
          return game.UTILITY(state, player),null
18:
      v \leftarrow \infty
19:
       for each a in game.Actions(state) do
20:
          v2, a2 \leftarrow Max-Value(qame, qame.Result(state, a))
21:
          if v2 < v then
22:
              v, move \leftarrow v2, a
23:
      return v, move
24:
```

More Than Two Players

- Two players, two values: v_A, v_B
 - Zero-sum: $v_A = -v_B$
 - Only one value needs to be explicitly represented
- > 2 players:
 - $v_A, v_B, v_C \dots$
 - Value scalar becomes \vec{v}

Society

- ullet > 2 players, only one can win
- Cooperation can be rational!

Example:

- A & B: 30% win probability each
- C: 40% win probability
- A & B cooperate to eliminate C
 - \rightarrow A & B: 50% win probability each

...what about friendship?

Minimax Efficiency

Pruning removes the need to explore the full tree.

- Max and Min nodes alternate
- Once *one* value has been found, we can eliminate parts of search
 - Lower values, for Max
 - Higher values, for Min
- Remember highest value (α) for Max
- Remember lowest value (β) for Min

Pruning

Heuristics 😌

- In practice, trees are far too deep to completely search
- Heuristic: replace utility with evaluation function
 - Better than losing, worse than winning
 - Represents chance of winning
- Chance? 윻 윻
 - Even in deterministic games
 - Why?

More Pruning

- Don't bother further searching bad moves
 - Examples?
- Beam search
 - Lee Sedol's singular win against AlphaGo

Other Techniques

- Move ordering
 - How do we decide?
- Lookup tables
 - For subsets of games

Monte Carlo Tree Search

- Many games are too large even for an efficient α - β search \cong
 - We can still play them
- *Simulate* plays of entire games from starting state
 - Update win probability from each node (for each player) based on result
- "Explore/exploit" paradigm for move selection

Choosing Moves

- We want our search to pick good moves
- We want our search to pick unknown moves
- We *don't* want our search to pick bad moves
 - (Assuming they're actually bad moves)

Select moves based on a heuristic.
Games of Luck

- Real-world problems are rarely deterministic
- Non-deterministic state evolution:
 - Roll a die to determine next position
 - Toss a coin to determine who picks candy first
 - Precise trajectory of kicked football¹
 - Others?

Solving Non-Deterministic Games

Previously: Max and Min alternate turns

Now:

- Max
- Chance
- Min
- Chance



Expectiminimax

- "Expected value" of next position
- How does this impact branching factor of the search?



Filled With Uncertainty

What is to be done?

- Pruning is still possible
 - How?
- Heuristic evaluation functions
 - Choose carefully!

Non-Optimal Adversaries

- Is deterministic "best" behavior optimal?
- Are all adversaries rational?

• Expectimax

References

- Stuart J. Russell and Peter Norvig. *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach.* 4th Edition, 2020.
- Mykal Kochenderfer, Tim Wheeler, and Kyle Wray. *Algorithms for Decision Making*. 1st Edition, 2022.
- Stanford CS231
- Stanford CS228
- UC Berkeley CS188